

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/741,620	12/19/2000	R. Alan Neely	4156-006	5816	
4678 MACCORD M	7590 12/03/200° ASON PLLC	EXAMINER			
300 N. GREENE STREET, SUITE 1600 P. O. BOX 2974			HAMILTON, LALITA M		
GREENSBORG	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3691		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/741,620	NEELY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lalita M. Hamilton	3691		

	Lalita M. Hamilton	3691	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further coincoincoincoincoincoincoincoincoincoin	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	·	-	_
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	L] will not be entered, or b) L] wi	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 1. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to determine the file of the file	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:		8	
	LAL PRII	ITA M. HAMILTON MARY EXAMINER	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that Zervides does not expressly teach that the electronic invoice is "only stored on a server by or on behalf of said invoicer from customer billing data" or "stored on a server and only extracted by or on behalf of said invoicer"...meaning that only the invoicer or an entity acting on his/her behalf can store the electronic invoice data on the server. In response, Zervides was incorproated as a teaching of a payment engine for electronically transmitting invoice payment instructions from the customer to each invoicer. The payment engine (EICS) electronically transmits the invoice payment instructions from the customer. The Examiner found it to have been obvious to one combine the teachings of Zervides within Virgin in order to have a payment engine for electronically transmitting invoice payment instructions from the customer to each invoice to allow the customer alternative means of making payments.

LALITA M. HAMILTON PRIMARY EXAMINED